

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,362	06/25/2003	Robert A. Hawley	50580/PAN/B600	2526	
23363	7590 03/09/2004		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			CHANG, JOSEPH		
350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PASADENA,	CA 91105	5			
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>ጎ ·</u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/603,362	HAWLEY ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joseph Chang	2817					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THE	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)							
Applicat	ion Papers ,							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []	(DTO 443)					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/25/03</u> .		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2817

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1,3,6,7,12,13,14 and 16 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,611,568. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-16 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the cited references discloses nor suggests the claimed invention including the frequency control loop generates a phase offset signal proportional to M/N as a function of an offset in phase between a variable frequency clock signal at a second variable frequency and a sample clock signal at a sample clock frequency, as set forth in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lee et al. discloses a variable rate QAM transceiver providing various transmission rates.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JC 3/3/04.

> Robert Pascal Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 280